

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,389	09/28/2001	Mark Kirkpatrick	BS01-290	2348
45695 7590 01/29/2007 WITHERS & KEYS FOR BELL SOUTH P. O. BOX 71355 MARIETTA, GA 30007-1355			EXAMINER RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR	
CHORTENED STATITOD	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE .	DELIVED	V MODE
	LL		DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comment	09/964,389	KIRKPATRICK, MARK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
· .	Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-14 and 16-28</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-14 and 16-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-14-2006.					

Application/Control Number: 09/964,389 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11-14-2006 has been entered.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-28 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 09/964,385 and claims 1-20 of copending Application No.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,389 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

10/101,630. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the claimed limitations, i.e., a battery and sound generating device, are transparently found in the copending Application No. 09/964,385 and copending Application No. 10/101,630 with obvious wording variations. See the example of claim 1 of the present application, copending Application No. 09/964,385 and copending application No. 10/101,630 as set forth in the office action dated 9-16-2005.

4. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-14, 16-28 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No.7,003,329. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because, for example claim 1 of the present application is an obvious variation of claim 1 of Patent No.7,003,329.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,389

Art Unit: 2614

6. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stone (US PAT: 5,767,778) in view of Suzuki et al. (US PAT: 6,556,665, filed 7-9-1999, hereinafter Suzuki).

Regarding claim 21, Stone discloses a computer readable medium containing instructions that when executed by a processor of a wireless device perform acts, wherein the cellular telephone includes a cellular telephone body (32, figure 4) for generating an electrical signal, a cellular telephone battery (30, figure 4) for powering the cellular telephone body, an audio signal device (31, figure 4) connectable with the cellular telephone body and the cellular telephone battery, including a sound generating device (38, figure 4), memory (42, figure 4), and a selector device (41, figure 4), comprising the acts of storing a plurality of sound files in memory, receiving input from the selector device, designating a file of the plurality to use as an alert signal by manipulating the selector on the audio signal device and alerting a user with the alert signal of the designated file in response to the electrical signal generated by the body (abstract and col. 4 line 13 through col. 5 line 35 and col. 7 lines 10-31).

Stone differs from claim 21 in that although he discloses generating response to an incoming telephone call (col. 4, line 66 –col. 5, line 5); he does not specifically teach generating signal in response to an event at the wireless device other than incoming telephone call.

However, Suzuki teaches the following: generating signal in response to an event at the wireless device other than incoming telephone call (col. 1 lines 45-49, col. 2 lines 1-16, col. 4 lines 15-23).

Art Unit: 2614

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinarily skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Stone's system to provide for the following: generating signal in response to an event at the wireless device other than incoming telephone call as this arrangement would facilitate providing alert sounds for the user for other events as taught by Suzuki, thus facilitating additional function for the portable/wireless telephone, thus enhancing user convenience.

7. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-14, 16-20, 22-28 are allowed subject to terminal disclaimer to overcome double patenting rejection set forth above.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed on 11-14-2006 with respect to claim 21 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 21, rejection of claim 21 is maintained as set forth above because applicant has amended only preamble to the claim whereas the body of the claim 21 remains same as before. Therefore the art of record still reads on claim 21 as set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,389

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 2614